

MDEStat Meeting

March 11, 2013



Robert M. Summers, Secretary



Sue Battle-McDonald, Stat Director

Table 1: LPPP Overdue Annual Reports Still With Administration

Report Name	Due Date	Comments
Lead Poisoning Prevention Commission Annual Report (MSAR#193)	January 1, 2012 & January 1, 2013 (two reports overdue)	LPPP Commission is responsible for writing the report and submitting to LMA

Table 2: Renovation Repair and Painting Lead Paint Poisoning Program Implementation Timeline

(Continued on next slide)

DATE	TASK	STATUS
April – July 2012	•Contact EPA about additional funding for FY 2014	Done- Additional allocations preliminarily promised to MDE for FY 2013- \$75,000.
	•Meet with EPA. Establish contacts from other States that have RRP authorization for input	Met with EPA on July 12, 2012. Generated a list of considerations for RRP impanation in Maryland. Decimated to staff and OAG for follow-up consideration. Most states in Region 3 have adopted full EPA RRP (little or no variation), because it was indentified to be very problematic with Programs that had existing lead programs authorized by EPA.
	•Develop LPPP work group to amend regulations	Completed- Weekly Meetings with LPPP and OAG since July, 2012. At this time, MDE staff is not only reviewing COMAR regulations under Title 26, but also COMAR 13A (Education) as well as HUD Guidelines, and 40 CFR 745 of the Federal Register. Of key note is phasing in of accredited EPA contractors, clearance at what level & training requirements. Considerations completed and provided to Director for review.
	•LPPP, OAG & Admin Director meet regularly to discuss HB 644 status.	Monthly meetings scheduled with LMA Director, OAG and LPPP through December 2012. Will set new meetings beginning in March 2013.

Table 2: Renovation Repair and Painting Lead Paint Poisoning Program Implementation Timeline (Continued on next slide)

DATE	TASK	STATUS
July 2012 - January 2013	Develop plans for merging MDE's existing training for lead abatement projects with EPA's new RRP training to eliminate the need for two separate courses.	Considerations completed and provided to Director for review.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Work on contract to have better data collection ability, including universe of properties, accreditations, and certifications 	<p>Working with MES currently. Plan is to get Registration data current, then work on certification and accreditation data bases, followed by enforcement data base.</p> <p>August 28, 2012 LPPP Manager and LMA Director and OS Manager met with SDAT to determine data available for determining regulated community.</p> <p>The meeting determined that DAT has incomplete data information for built dates of properties. Also there is no specific information on number of units that a property may have. However, the Homesteaders' tax credit may be used to identify properties that are not an owner's primary residence. Also, the lead law required owners of rental properties built between 1950-1978 to register and pay a registration fee until 2000. This historical data will also be used to target owners.</p>

Table 2: Renovation Repair and Painting Lead Paint Poisoning Program Implementation Timeline (Continued on next slide)

DATE	TASK	STATUS
July 2012 - January 2013	Initial outreach to training providers currently accredited by MDE	Meeting took place September 13, 2012. The program is currently drafting considerations that will be provided to the Secretary in a final report. Considerations completed and provided by Director for review.
	Evaluate number of existing training providers, to ensure adequate amount for training needs. Work with local existing training providers currently accredited with EPA.	Drafting letter to existing RRP training providers that are not MD accredited for RR. On Tuesday February 19, 2013 LPPP Program Manager presented to members of the Environmental Information Association (EIA). Presentation included an overview of HB 644 (2012) with a focus on RRP implementation. The majority of EIA members present were consultants that provide lead abatement services in Maryland and DC area. It proved to be a good starting point to notify training providers that may be federally accredited to teach the RRP but are not Maryland accredited. Future discussions are expected.

Table 2: Renovation Repair and Painting Lead Paint Poisoning Program Implementation Timeline (Continued on next slide)

DATE	TASK	STATUS
July 2012 - January 2013	Schedule meeting for outreach to DLLR, MHIC, permitting offices and Industry	Letter to DLLR for MDE to present at MHIC meeting in January or February 2013 to go out week of December 4, 2012. No response as yet from letter (See Attachment A, Correspondence HB 644 letter). Establish if State laws can require permitting offices to include RRP Accredited Firm prior to authorizing permits. May not occur until after State authorization. Baltimore City is considering making it part of their permitting process. LPPP Program Manager met with County Environmental Health Directors on Thursday February 21, 2013 in Annapolis. An update of last year's HB 644 was provided. The idea that the RRP was a permitting issue was briefly discussed. Also discussed was timeline of MDE implementation.
	Begin approval process for hiring employees to begin implementation of RRP.	Positions being considered by General Assembly for FY 2014.
	Start EPA self authorization.	Received Self Authorization Information from EPA

Table 2: Renovation Repair and Painting Lead Paint Poisoning Program Implementation Timeline

DATE	TASK	STATUS
January 2013–July 2013	Complete regulations	Ongoing
	Apply for self authorization	Ongoing
	Continue outreach	Ongoing
July- December 2013	Have new data system in place for on line services	Ongoing
	Develop Program SOP	Ongoing
	Continue outreach	Ongoing
January 1, 2014	Start date of implementation	Anticipated

***Table 3: Lead Registrations Received for 2012,
As of March 1, 2013***

Total number of registrations received	Number of registrations submitted online	Number of paper submissions	Number of registrations waiting to be entered
78,277 units	16,365 units	61,912 units	19,041 units

Table 4: Children with a First-Time Blood-Lead Level 5-9 µg/dL in 2011 (Continued on next slide)

Number of children 0-72 Months Tested for Lead in 2011 and had the First Blood Lead Level of 5-9 µg/dL			
	Sample Type		
County	Venous	Capillary	Total
Allegany	21	21	42
Anne Arundel	38	24	62
Baltimore	127	113	240
Baltimore City	731	274	1,005
Calvert	7	4	11
Caroline	9	5	14
Carroll	22	3	25
Cecil	4	12	16
Charles	10	3	13
Dorchester	6	3	9
Frederick	30	6	36
Garrett	6	2	8

Table 4: Children with a First-Time Blood-Lead Level 5-9 µg/dL in 2011

Number of children 0-72 Months Tested for Lead in 2011 and had the First Blood Lead Level of 5-9 µg/dL			
	Sample Type		
Harford	12	11	23
Howard	7	9	16
Kent	4	2	6
Montgomery	88	58	146
Prince George's	155	58	213
Queen Anne's	2	4	6
Saint Mary's	2	17	19
Somerset	10	0	10
Talbot	6	5	11
Washington	128	21	149
Wicomico	32	9	41
Worcester	7	0	7
County Unknown	1	1	2
Statewide	1,465	665	2,130
Note: If a child ever tested for lead and had a blood lead level ≥ 5 µg/dL before 2011 or a blood lead level ≥ 10 µg/dL in 2011 is not included in this table.			